



4+1 Final Presentation – Committee Approval

Student Name: _____

Title: _____

The MS committee members listed below certify that they conducted a comprehensive exam that included a presentation of research completed by _____ in the laboratory of _____ on _____. Student

Advisor
Date

Name:	Pass	Fail	Signature:
_____ Advisor	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____

Research Paper and Presentation Rubric

Student: _____ Completed by: _____ Date: _____

Please check boxes (click on box) for all evaluation criteria that you feel are appropriate within each attribute category

ATTRIBUTE	DOES NOT MEET EXPECTATIONS	MEETS EXPECTATIONS	EXCEEDS EXPECTATIONS
Overall quality of science	☐ Arguments are incorrect, incoherent, or flawed ☐ Objectives are poorly defined ☐ Demonstrates rudimentary critical thinking skills ☐ Does not reflect understanding of subject matter and associated literature ☐ Demonstrates poor understanding of theoretical concepts	☐ Arguments are coherent and clear ☐ Objectives are clear ☐ Demonstrates average critical thinking skills ☐ Reflects understanding of subject matter and associated literature ☐ Demonstrates understanding of theoretical concepts	☐ Arguments are superior ☐ Objectives are well defined ☐ Exhibits mature, critical thinking skills ☐ Exhibits mastery of subject matter and associated literature ☐ Demonstrates mastery of theoretical concepts ☐ Demonstrates exceptional originality
Quantity of work	☐ Research findings/data inconsistent with expectations for a M.S. graduate	☐ Research findings/data consistent with expectations for a M.S. graduate	☐ Exceptional research findings/data
Responsible Conduct of Research	☐ Demonstrates unacceptable originality (Plagiarized) ☐ Lacks regulatory compliance	☐ Demonstrates acceptable originality ☐ Considers regulatory compliance	☐ Demonstrates exceptional originality ☐ Demonstrates regulatory compliance
Quality of writing	☐ Writing is weak ☐ Numerous grammatical and spelling errors apparent ☐ Organization is poor	☐ Writing is adequate ☐ Some grammatical and spelling errors apparent ☐ Organization is logical	☐ Writing is publication quality ☐ No grammatical or spelling errors apparent ☐ Organization is excellent
Quality of oral presentation	☐ Organization ☐ Content ☐ Delivery	☐ Organization ☐ Content ☐ Delivery	☐ Organization ☐ Content ☐ Delivery
Overall Assessment	☐ Does not meet expectations	☐ Meets expectations	☐ Exceeds expectations
Comments:			